



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,734	09/23/2003	John J. Toben	6006-141-1	2477
7590 02/18/2009 Nicholas J. Tuccillo, Esq. McCormick, Paulding & Huber LLP CityPlace II 185 Asylum Street Hartford, CT 06103				
EXAMINER GARCTA, ERNESTO				
ART UNIT 3679		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 02/18/2009		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary**Application No.**

10/668,734

Applicant(s)

TOBEN ET AL.

Examiner

ERNESTO GARCIA

Art Unit

3679

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 November 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SG/US)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

The indicated allowability of claim 21 is withdrawn upon reconsideration of the limitation "at a hemmed angle towards an interior of said ductwork" in line 6. In particular, upon further review, it became apparent that the examiner's previous interpretation was overly broad and incorrect. In view of the current interpretation, the claim is readable upon Breehl, 2,802,487. Note that the examiner misinterpreted this limitation as requiring a hem interior of the ductwork. However, the recitation merely sets forth a direction of the angle. The examiner regrets this was not caught earlier.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Objections

Claim 21 is objected to because of the following informalities:

regarding claim 21, "and" in line 11 should be deleted as there is another "and" in line 13, and "to be" in line 13 should be deleted so that the sealing fold is actually transverse to the groove and not intended to be. Appropriate correction is required. For purposes of examining the instant invention, the examiner has assumed these corrections have been made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 21, the recitation "a fourth fold back against the third fold" in line 10 is misdescriptive and/or inaccurate since the fourth fold 118 is not against the third fold 116. Note that the fourth fold is rather spaced away of the third fold and thus not against it.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Breehl, 2,802,487.

Regarding claim 21, Breehl discloses in Figure 2, a method comprising integrally forming a female end portion **9** at another distal end of a duct wall **6**; integrally forming the female end portion **9** includes bending a first fold **12** beginning at a break point **11** of the duct wall **6** to extend at a hemmed angle towards an interior of a ductwork **5**, bending a second fold **A1** (see marked-up attachment) back

upon the first fold **12** to extend substantially adjacent to the break point **11**, bending a third fold **16** beginning substantially adjacent the break point **11** and extending substantially parallel to the duct wall **6**, and bending a fourth fold **15** defining a female groove **A2** with the third fold **16** for accommodating the male end portion therein; integrally forming a sealing fold **17** at a distal end of the fourth fold **15**; and bending the sealing fold **17** to be transverse to the female groove **A2** prior to a male portion **19** being inserted into the female groove **A2** (note that the female end portion is made prior to the male being inserted).

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments with respect to claim 21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ernesto Garcia whose telephone number is 571-272-7083. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:30AM-6:00PM. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached at 571-272-7087.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/E. G./

Examiner, Art Unit 3679

February 18, 2009

one marked-up page of Breehl, 2,802,487

/Daniel P. Stodola/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3679

